

NATIONAL WATER-QUALITY ASSESSMENT PROGRAM

# **Water-Quality Assessment of the New England Coastal Basins in Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, and Rhode Island: Environmental Settings and Implications for Water Quality and Aquatic Biota**

**Water-Resources Investigations Report 98-4249**

*Cover photograph is a panoramic view of the Merrimack River and the Amoskeag Mills in Manchester, New Hampshire, looking south from the west side of the river, circa 1883. Photograph is courtesy of the Manchester (N.H.) Historic Association.*

NATIONAL WATER-QUALITY ASSESSMENT PROGRAM

# **Water-Quality Assessment of the New England Coastal Basins in Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, and Rhode Island: Environmental Settings and Implications for Water Quality and Aquatic Biota**

By Sarah M. Flanagan, Martha G. Nielsen, Keith W. Robinson, and  
James F. Coles

**Water-Resources Investigations Report 98-4249**

**Pembroke, New Hampshire  
1999**

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
BRUCE BABBITT, Secretary

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY  
Charles G. Groat, Director

The use of firm, trade, and brand names in this report is for identification purposes only and does not constitute endorsement by the U.S. Geological Survey.

---

For additional information write to:

District Chief  
U.S. Geological Survey  
New Hampshire/Vermont District  
361 Commerce Way  
Pembroke, NH 03275-3718

Copies of this report can be purchased  
from:

U.S. Geological Survey  
Branch of Information Services  
Box 25286  
Denver, CO 80225

Information regarding the National Water-Quality Assessment (NAWQA) Program is available on the Internet via the World Wide Web. You may connect to the NAWQA Home Page using the Universal Resources Locator (URL) at <[http://wwwrvares.er.usgs.gov/nawqa/nawqa\\_home.html](http://wwwrvares.er.usgs.gov/nawqa/nawqa_home.html)>

# FOREWORD

The mission of the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) is to assess the quantity and quality of the earth resources of the Nation and to provide information that will assist resource managers and policymakers at Federal, State, and local levels in making sound decisions. Assessment of water-quality conditions and trends is an important part of this overall mission.

One of the greatest challenges faced by water-resources scientists is acquiring reliable information that will guide the use and protection of the Nation's water resources. That challenge is being addressed by Federal, State, interstate, and local water-resource agencies and by many academic institutions. These organizations are collecting water-quality data for a host of purposes that include: compliance with permits and water-supply standards; development of remediation plans for specific contamination problems; operational decisions on industrial, wastewater, or water-supply facilities; and research on factors that affect water quality. An additional need for water-quality information is to provide a basis on which regional- and national-level policy decisions can be based. Wise decisions must be based on sound information. As a society we need to know whether certain types of water-quality problems are isolated or ubiquitous, whether there are significant differences in conditions among regions, whether the conditions are changing over time, and why these conditions change from place to place and over time. The information can be used to help determine the efficacy of existing water-quality policies and to help analysts determine the need for and likely consequences of new policies.

To address these needs, the U.S. Congress appropriated funds in 1986 for the USGS to begin a pilot program in seven project areas to develop and refine the National Water-Quality Assessment (NAWQA) Program. In 1991, the USGS began full implementation of the program. The NAWQA Program builds upon an existing base of water-quality studies of the USGS, as well as those of other Federal, State, and local agencies. The objectives of the NAWQA Program are to:

- Describe current water-quality conditions for a large part of the Nation's freshwater streams, rivers, and aquifers.


- Describe how water quality is changing over time.
- Improve understanding of the primary natural and human factors that affect water-quality conditions.

This information will help support the development and evaluation of management, regulatory, and monitoring decisions by other Federal, State, and local agencies to protect, use, and enhance water resources.

The goals of the NAWQA Program are being achieved through ongoing and proposed investigations of 50 of the Nation's most important river basins and aquifer systems, which are referred to as study units. These study units are distributed throughout the Nation and cover a diversity of hydrogeologic settings. More than two-thirds of the Nation's freshwater use occurs within the 60 study units and more than two-thirds of the people served by public water-supply systems live within their boundaries.

National synthesis of data analysis, based on aggregation of comparable information obtained from the study units, is a major component of the program. This effort focuses on selected water-quality topics using nationally consistent information. Comparative studies will explain differences and similarities in observed water-quality conditions among study areas and will identify changes and trends and their causes. The first topics addressed by the national synthesis are pesticides, nutrients, volatile organic compounds, and aquatic biology. Discussions on these and other water-quality topics will be published in periodic summaries of the quality of the Nation's ground and surface water as the information becomes available.

This report is an element of the comprehensive body of information developed as part of the NAWQA Program. The program depends heavily on the advice, cooperation, and information from many Federal, State, interstate, Tribal, and local agencies and the public. The assistance and suggestions of all are greatly appreciated.



Robert M. Hirsch  
Chief Hydrologist

# CONTENTS

Abstract .....	1
Introduction .....	1
Purpose and Scope .....	2
Acknowledgments .....	2
Environmental Setting .....	2
Physiography .....	2
Climate .....	4
Geology .....	7
Bedrock .....	7
Surficial Deposits .....	9
Soils .....	12
Hydrography .....	12
Surface Water .....	12
Streamflow Characteristics .....	15
Floods and Droughts .....	22
Lakes, Reservoirs, and Wetlands .....	22
Ground Water .....	23
Aquifers .....	23
Recharge, Discharge, and Ground-Water Levels .....	26
Ecological Regions and Fisheries .....	28
Ecoregions .....	28
Fisheries .....	28
Population .....	32
Land Use and Land Cover .....	32
Forests .....	34
Agriculture .....	36
Urban and Industrial Activities .....	37
Use of Water .....	39
Implications of Environmental Settings for Water Quality and Aquatic Biota .....	44
Surface Water .....	44
Ground Water .....	49
Aquatic Biota .....	51
Summary and Conclusions .....	52
Selected References .....	55

## FIGURES

1-8. Maps showing:	
1. Location of the New England Coastal Basins study area in Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, and Rhode Island .....	3
2. Physiographic regions of the New England Coastal Basins study area.....	5
3. Mean monthly precipitation and air temperature at selected stations, annual precipitation, and climatic divisions in the New England Coastal Basins study area, 1961-1990.....	6
4. Generalized bedrock geology of the New England Coastal Basins study area .....	8
5. (A) Maximum extent of glacial lakes and the marine limit and (B) the generalized extent of stratified-drift deposits .....	11
6. Generalized soil hydrologic groups .....	13
7. Generalized hydrography.....	14
8. Mean annual discharge, mean annual runoff, and location of selected streamflow-gaging stations .....	16
9. Distribution of monthly streamflows for selected gaging stations, water years 1973-93 unless otherwise noted.....	18
10. Maps showing location of dams used for recreation, water supply, and hydroelectric power generation in the study area .....	20
11. Hydrograph showing selected storm hydrographs from unregulated and regulated streams and lakes for a large runoff event (March-April 1987) .....	21
12. Hydrograph showing daily streamflow regulation on the Kennebec River at Bingham, Maine, June 18-21, 1995.....	21
13. Diagram showing idealized geohydrologic section in the glaciated Northeast.....	27
14. Graphs showing a comparison of monthly median and ranges of water levels in selected observation wells during the 1994 water year.....	29
15-23. Maps of the New England Coastal Basins showing:	
15. Ecoregions and fish communities .....	30
16. (A) Population distributions and metropolitan statistical areas, and (B) changes in population density from 1970 to 1990, by subbasin .....	33
17. Generalized land use and land cover .....	35
18. Nitrogen (A) and phosphate (B) fertilizer use in 1991, by county .....	38
19. Location of selected industrial and municipal waste-water treatment plants .....	40
20. Location of toxic-release-inventory (TRI) sites.....	41
21. Location of hazardous-waste sites .....	42
22. Total alkalinity of streams and rivers.....	45
23. Mean daily total phosphorus and total nitrite plus nitrate, as N, loading at selected NASQAN sites.....	48

## TABLES

1. Streamflow characteristics for selected gaging stations in the New England Coastal Basins study area, in Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, and Rhode Island .....	17
2. Summary of dams, by major river basin, in 1995-96.....	19
3. Numbers and total areas of lakes and wetlands greater than 0.1 square miles in the major river basins .....	22
4. Geologic units, hydraulic properties, and general water-bearing characteristics.....	24
5. Descriptions of ecoregions.....	31
6. Population of the major metropolitan areas .....	32
7. Land use and land cover, by major river basin, in 1990 .....	36
8. Estimated agricultural production, by major river basin, in 1994 .....	37
9. Summary of total fresh-water withdrawals in 1995, by major basin and source.....	43
10. Summary of public-supply and self-supply withdrawals for domestic use in 1995, by major basin and source .....	44

## CONVERSION FACTORS, VERTICAL DATUM, AND ABBREVIATIONS

Multiply metric unit	By	To obtain inch-pound unit
<b>Length</b>		
inch (in.)	25.4	millimeter
foot (ft)	0.3048	meter
mile (mi)	1.609	kilometer
<b>Area</b>		
acre	0.4047	hectare (ha)
square mile (mi <sup>2</sup> )	2.590	square kilometer
<b>Velocity and Flow</b>		
foot per second (ft/s)	0.3048	meter per second
cubic foot per second (ft <sup>3</sup> /s)	0.02832	cubic meter per second
gallon per minute (gal/min)	0.06309	liter per second
million gallons per day (Mgal/d)	0.04381	cubic meter per second
<b>Temperature</b>		
degree Fahrenheit (°F)	°C = 5/9 x (°F-32)	degree Celsius °C
<b>Hydraulic Conductivity</b>		
foot per day (ft/d)	0.3048	meter per day
<b>Transmissivity</b>		
foot squared per day (ft <sup>2</sup> /d)	0.09290	meter squared per day

In this report, chemical concentration in water is expressed as International Systems Units, in milligrams per liter (mg/L) or micrograms per liter (µg/L). Milligrams per liter is a unit expressing the concentration of chemical constituents in solution as weight (milligrams) of solute per unit volume (liter) of water; 1,000 µg/L is equivalent to 1 mg/L.

**Vertical Datum:** In this report “sea level” refers to the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 (NGVD of 1929)—a geodetic datum derived from a general adjustment of the first-order level nets of both the United States and Canada, formerly called Sea Level Datum of 1929.

**Abbreviations:** Abbreviated units used in this report that are not identified in the conversion table include:

lbs/ac	pounds per acre
µeq/L	microequivalents per liter
ft/mi	foot per mile
ft <sup>3</sup> /s	cubic foot per second
in/yr	inches per year
km	kilometer
in/mo	inches per month
lbs	pounds
µS/cm	microsiemens per centimeter
kg	kilograms
lb/d/mi <sup>2</sup>	pounds per day per square mile
µg/g	micrograms per gram